arXiv:1512.01673v1 [math.CO] 5 Dec 2015

Restricted Product Sets under Unique Representability

F. Petrov

December 8, 2015

Abstract

We prove some results of Kemperman–Scherk type for restricted product sets in multiplicative groups of fields (in particular, for cyclic groups). The proofs use polynomial method.

Recall a classical theorem by Scherk [5] and Kemperman [6, 7] (see history of this result in [1]):

Theorem 1. *Let A, B be two finite subsets of some abelian group G. Assume that some element $c \in A \cdot B$ has unique representation as $c = ab$, $a \in A, b \in B$. Then $|A \cdot B| \geqslant |A| + |B| - 1$.*

This is proved purely combinatorial methods, that is, by by Dyson changes $(A, B) \to (A, xB) \to (A \cap xB, a \cup xB)$.

Consider now the simplest restricted version. We denote $A \dot\times B = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B, a \neq b\}$. If group G is additive, we use $\dot+$.

We use polynomial method, in particular, the following useful corollary [9] of Alon's Combinatorial Nullstellensatz [8]:

Theorem 2. *If X, Y are finite non-empty subsets of the field K, and polynomial $f(x, y)$ equals to 0 on all but 1 points of the grid $X \times Y$, then* $\deg f \geqslant |X| + |Y| - 2$.

St. Petersburg Department of V. A. Steklov Institute of Mathematics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg State University. E-mail: fedyapetrov@gmail.com.
Supported by Russian Scientific Foundation grant 14-11-00581.

This in turn follows from the following formula [2, 4] expressing a coefficient of $x^{|A|-1}y^{|B|-1}$ at any polynomial $f(x,y)$, $\deg f(x,y) \leqslant |A|+|B|-2$:

$$[x^{|A|-1}y^{|B|-1}]f(x,y) = \sum_{x\in A, y\in B} \frac{f(t,s)}{\prod_{\tau\in A\setminus t}(t-\tau)\prod_{\xi\in B\setminus s}(s-\xi)}. \qquad (1)$$

Indeed, if $\deg f < |X|+|Y|-2$ in Theorem 2, then apply (1) for the polynomial $f(x,y)$ and sets $X=A$, $Y=B$: LHS of (1) equals to 0, while in RHS there exists a unique non-zero summand.

Further we need this formula itself. The following result is essentially contained in [10] (the formal difference is that we consider number of representations $c=a+b, a\neq b$, while Pan and Sun consider all representations $c=a+b$.)

Theorem 3. *Let A,B be two finite subsets of additive group of the field. Assume that some element $c\in A\dot{+}B$ has unique representation as $c=a+b$, $a\in A, b\in B$, $a\neq b$. Then $|A\dot{+}B| \geqslant |A|+|B|-2$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. Consider $|A\dot{+}B|$ lines: $x=y$ and $x+y=\gamma$, $\gamma\in (A\dot{\times}B)\setminus c$. They cover all points of $A\times B$ except (a,b). Hence $|A\dot{+}B| \geqslant |A|+|B|-2$ by Alon–Füredi Theorem 2. ∎

Corollary 4. *Let A be a finite subset of additive group of the field. Assume that some element $c\in A\dot{+}A$ has exactly two (symmetric to each other) representations as $c=a+b=b+a$, $a,b\in A$, $a\neq b$. Then $|A\dot{+}A| \geqslant 2|A|-3$.*

Proof. Denote $B=A\setminus a$ and apply Theorem 3. ∎

Now consider the case of multiplicative group of the field. This is proved to be useful approach for studying cyclic groups, in the context of restricted sumsets/product sets it originated from [3].

The estimate becomes slightly worse:

Theorem 5. *Let A,B be two finite subsets of the multiplicative group of a field. Assume that some element $c\in A\dot{\times}B$ has unique representation as $c=ab$, $a\in A, b\in B$, $a\neq b$. Then $|A\dot{\times}B| \geqslant |A|+|B|-3$.*

Proof. Consider the set $\setminus(a,b^{-1})$. A polynomial $(xy-1)\prod_{\gamma\in(A\dot{\times}B)\setminus c}(x-\gamma y)$ vanishes on all points of $A\times B^{-1}$ except (a,b^{-1}). Hence its degree $|C|+1$ is not less than $|A|+|B|-2$. ∎

Note that this bound can not be improved in full generality. For example, take the sets $A = \{1, w, \dots, w^{n-1}\}$, $B = \{1, w, \dots, w^{n-2}\}$, where $w^{2n-4} = 1$, and w is primitive root of 1 of power $2n-4$. Then $|A| = n$, $|B| = n-1$, $|A\dot{\times}B| = 2n-4$ and 1 has unique representation $w^{n-1} \cdot w^{n-3}$.

Corollary 6. *Let A be a finite subset of the multiplicative group of a field. Assume that some element $c \in A\dot{\times}A$ has exactly two (symmetric to each other) representations as $c = ab = ba$, $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$. Then $|A\dot{\times}A| \geqslant 2|A| - 4$.*

Proof. Take $B = A \setminus b$ and apply Theorem 5 ∎

This partially support conjecture of V. Lev [1].

Again example of $A = \{1, w, \dots, w^{n-1}\}$, $w^{2n-4} = 1$ proves that this bound is tight.

However, this may be improved in particular case $a^{n-2} \neq b^{n-2}$, where $n = |A|$ (which just does not hold in our example):

Theorem 7. *Let A, be a finite subset of the multiplicative group of a field, $|A| = n$. Assume that some element $c \in A\dot{\times}A$ has exactly two (symmetric to each other) representations as $c = ab = ba$, $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$. Assume also that $a^{n-2} \neq b^{n-2}$. Then $|A\dot{\times}A| \geqslant 2n - 3$.*

Proof. Assume that $|A\dot{\times}A| \leqslant 2n-4$. A polynomial

$$f(x,y) := (xy-1) \prod_{\gamma \in (A\dot{\times}A)\setminus c} (x - \gamma y)$$

of degree at most $2n-3$ vanishes on all points of $A \times A^{-1}$ except (a, b^{-1}) and (b, a^{-1}). It allows to calculate its coefficient of $x^{n-1}y^{n-1}$ by the formula (1):

$$[x^{n-1}y^{n-1}]f(x,y) = \sum_{t\in A, s\in A^{-1}} \frac{f(t,s)}{\prod_{\tau\in A\setminus t}(t-\tau)\prod_{\xi\in A^{-1}\setminus s}(s-\xi)}.$$

We have two non-zero summands corresponding to points $(t,s) = (a, b^{-1})$

and $(t,s)=(b,a^{-1})$. The first of them equals

$$\frac{(ab^{-1}-1)\prod_{\gamma\in(A\dot\times A)\setminus c}(a-\gamma b^{-1})}{\prod_{\tau\in A\setminus a}(a-\tau)\prod_{\xi\in A^{-1}\setminus b^{-1}}(b^{-1}-\xi)}=$$

$$(a-b)b^{4-2n}\frac{\prod_{\gamma\in(A\dot\times A)\setminus c}(ab-\gamma)}{(-1)^{n-1}\prod_{\tau\in A\setminus a}(a-\tau)\prod_{\xi\in A^{-1}\setminus b^{-1}}b^{-1}\xi(b-\xi^{-1})}=$$

$$(a-b)b^{2-n}(-1)^{n-1}\frac{\prod_{\gamma\in(A\dot\times A)\setminus c}(ab-\gamma)}{\prod_{\tau\in A\setminus a}(a-\tau)\prod_{\xi\in A^{-1}\setminus b^{-1}}(b-\xi^{-1})\prod_{\xi\in A^{-1}}\xi}.$$

The second summand has analogous expression, just change a and b. We see that their sum is not equal to 0 provided that $a^{n-2}\neq b^{n-2}$. ∎

Lev posed an interesting question on estimating $|A\dot\times B|$ provided that $A\dot\times B\neq A\times B$. What we managed to prove in this direction (again for multiplicative groups of the field) is in most cases weaker than his conjecture:

Theorem 8. *Denote* $N=\{a\in A\cap B : a^2\notin A\dot\times B\}$. *Then* $|A\dot\times B|\geqslant |A|+|B|-2-[N/2]$.

Proof. For any $\gamma\in A\dot\times B$ consider a line $x=\gamma y$. They cover all points of $A\times B^{-1}$ except N points (a,a^{-1}) on hyperbola $xy=1$. Add $[N/2]$ lines covering all those points except 1 (this is clearly possible) and apply Alon–Füredi Theorem 2. ∎

I am deeply grateful to Gyula Károlyi and Vsevolod Lev for fruitful discussions.

References

[1] V. Lev, Restricted set addition in abelian groups: results and conjectures, J. Th. Nomb. Bordeaux 17 (1) (2005), 181–193.

[2] M. Lasoń, A generalization of Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Electron. J. Combin. 17 (2010) #N32, 6 pages.

[3] G. Károlyi. The Erdös–Heilbronn problem in Abelian groups. Isr. J. Math. 139 (1) (2004), 249-359.

[4] R. N. Karasev, F. V. Petrov, Partitions of nonzero elements of a finite field into pairs, Israel J. Math. 192 (2012), 143–156.

[5] P. Scherk, Distinct elements in a set of sums (solution to Problem 4466). American Math. Monthly 62 (1) (1955), 46–47.

[6] J. H. B. Kemperman, On complexes in a semiroup. Indag. Math. 18 (1956), 247–254.

[7] J. H. B. Kemperman, On small sumsets in an abelian group. Acta Math. 103 (1960), 63–88.

[8] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Combin. Probab. Comput. 8 (1999), 7–29.

[9] N. Alon and Z. Füredi. Covering the cube by affine hyperplanes. Eur. J. Comb. 14 (1993), 79–83.

[10] H. Pan, Z.-W. Sun. Restricted sumsets and a conjecture of Lev. Isrlael J. Math. 154(1) (2006), 21–28